

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2009  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S147345**      D046435 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **TOBACCO II CASES, IN RE**  
Request for extended media coverage granted

The request for extended media coverage, filed by The California Channel on February 23, 2009, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court.

**S169005**      B205182 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **PEOPLE v. GARCIA  
(CARLOS OCHOA)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 3, 2009.

**S169324**      B200277 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. REED (PETER  
JOSEPH)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 3, 2009.

**S169374**      B183388 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. CARMON (LUIS  
M.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 30, 2009.

**S169434**      B194188 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **KLINCK (THOMAS) v.  
PERELMUTTER (DANIEL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 2, 2009.

**S169554**      E044533 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. WILLARD  
(TIMOTHY MICHAEL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 7, 2009.

**S169595**      D050715 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. FABROS (REX  
FRANCO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2009.

**S058734****PEOPLE v. HOLMES (KARL  
DARNELL), MCCLAIN  
(HERBERT CHARLES) &  
NEWBORN (LORENZO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Deborah J. Chuang's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by December 31, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 28, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

**S118384****PEOPLE v. MELENDEZ  
(ANGELO MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 24, 2009.

**S164614**      C057766 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. S.C. (SPARKS)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 16, 2009. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

**S167791**      C054124 Third Appellate District**MARTINEZ (ROBERT) v.  
REGENTS OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordering that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 25, 2009.

**S167791** C054124 Third Appellate District**MARTINEZ (ROBERT) v.  
REGENTS OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA**

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 25, 2009.

**S170133** H031726 Sixth Appellate District**PEREZ (ISMAEL DIAZ) v.  
GRAJALES (ELVIA)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to March 6, 2009.

**S170220****ARAMBULA (GONZALO) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Order filed

The order of this court filed on February 19, 2009, transferring the matter to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, is hereby amended to reflect the above title.

**S169266****VILTMAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VLADISLAV P. VILTMAN, State Bar Number 203140, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for the first 30 days of probation. The court orders that VLADISLAV P. VILTMAN comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 22, 2008. The court orders that VLADISLAV P. VILTMAN take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169267****STEPHENSON ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that LELAND DALE STEPHENSON, State Bar No. 37713, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. LELAND DALE STEPHENSON is also ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S169269****NEWMAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that JONATHAN DANIEL NEWMAN, State Bar No. 47353, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. JONATHAN DANIEL NEWMAN is also ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S169273****MARTIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT ALLEN MARTIN, State Bar Number 62018, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. The court orders that ROBERT ALLEN MARTIN comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169275****MAGRUDER ON DISCIPLINE****Recommended discipline imposed**

It is ordered that BRIAN GREGORY MAGRUDER, State Bar No. 229675, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on October 15, 2008. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)